Analysis of Transmission, Distribution Substation & Primary, Transformer & Secondary Impacts

- Key Points of Analysis Completed
  - Not all cars need full charge when plugged in
  - Not all cars plug in at peak as not everyone is home at peak
  - Car peak demand varies based upon car battery charger size: 1.4 kW, 3.6 kW, 7.2 kW
  - Distribution primary system per car load range = 0.68 kW to 1.1 kW
  - Charging impacts are highest at the house service, then secondary, then transformer and decrease as the voltage increases
  - Analysis completed matched current processes on evaluating new residential loads

Transformer & Secondary Impacts
  - Immediate impacts once PEV’s are sold and start charging
  - Full impact of charging, 1.4 to 7.2 kW per PEV
  - Approximately 50% of the time an upgrade is required if charging at peak time period
  - Approximately 10% of the time an upgrade is required if charging at non peak time period (non air conditioning area)
  - The more PEV’s connected the higher the likelihood an upgrade is needed
  - Underground systems needing upgrades take more time and are more costly
  - Upgrade costs depend on penetration rates, the time of day when charging, and time of the year
  - Costs could reach the $100’s of millions in 5-10 years

Distribution Substation, Primary and Transmission Impacts
  - No impacts expected for the foreseeable future
  - Diversified impact of charging, approximately .7 kW per PEV
  - Future impacts depend on penetration rates
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Real life distribution line transformer & secondary study leads to two distinct conclusions

Background:
• Study analyzed what happens when a customer purchases an electric vehicle
• Analyzed impacts on transformer and secondary system at peak and off peak
• One EV added, then a 2\textsuperscript{nd} EV and a 3\textsuperscript{rd} EV at each charging level
• Analysis looked at 3.6 kW and 7.2 kW cars at 240V
• Berkeley analysis was on overhead and underground system (non-AC area)
• Fresno analysis was an overhead system (AC area)
• San Ramon analysis was on underground system (AC area)

Conclusions:
• Non-AC areas will need upgrades at peak and off peak
• AC areas will need upgrades at peak only

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percent of time upgrade is needed (first 1 - 5 years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transformer Off Peak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary Off Peak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transformer On Peak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary On Peak</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Power demand for uncontrolled charging

- The peak load (~500W / EV) occurs between 5 PM and 7PM and lasts into the evening.

- If all the vehicles are BEV, the peak load still occurs at between 5PM and 7PM, but increases to ~700W / BEV.

Charge Power Per Vehicle (kW)

Default Profile - Vehicle mix is 45% E-REVs, 50% blended PHEVs, 5% EVs

- Default Profile
- All Evs Profile
- All EVs + high power charging Profile

Charge power per vehicle is total charging load of all PEVs at specific time of day / total # of PEVs
Residential Load Pattern Berkeley vs Fresno

Berkeley
- Residential winter peaking
- Early morning and evening peaks
- Summer load is generally lower and constant

Fresno
- Residential summer peaking
- Noon to 11 pm peak
- Winter load is generally lower
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- Residential summer peaking
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System Peak Loads

- Summer is the dominate peak period – AC driven load
- In 2006, 80-100% of peak occurred in ~170 hours over multiple days (170 hrs = 7 days)
- In 2006, 90-100% of peak occurred in ~50 hours over multiple days (50 hrs = 2 days)

Winter Peak Loads
- System loads in the winter are significantly lower than summers
1) Allowing Customers to Charge Before Upgrade

Customers choice:
- Can charge at any time of day

System Peak Load:
- 80-100% of peak is less than 170 hrs per year
- Transmission, substation, primary, dsbn transformer, secondary are generally designed for peak load
- Dsbn transformer, secondary are the most impacted equipment and provide power for 1 to 20 customers

Future Corrective Work:
- Analysis and upgrade planned to be completed in approximately 4 days
- Analysis completed prior to or soon after customer notifies PG&E

Overall Impact of Allowing Charging Prior to Upgrade:
- Could load the transformer above its capability
- Could create low voltage condition at the EV owner or adjacent customer
- Could cause an outage to all customers fed from dsbn transformer (fuse blows, transformer failure)

Impact Mitigation Plan:
- Reduce dsbn transformer and secondary wire failures
- Reduce significant voltage drop
- During initial corrective work analysis add in an additional step that reviews:
  - Time of year and is system designed already for AC (is charging prior to upgrade during peak load period)
  - Have overload and voltage ok thresholds above corrective work requirements temporarily (i.e. allow transformers to be loaded to 125% normal limit, allow voltage drop to 8.5 volts)
  - If analysis is outside of thresholds expedite the corrective work (move to top of list)
Will the Electric Vehicle Tariff defer system upgrades?

- Short term the answer is one of impact to the dsbn transformer / secondary / service. *Will customers adjust charging to take advantage of rate schedules?*
- Long term the risk is the same as transformer, secondary & service but the primary system will potentially be impacted
- As more electric vehicles are added to the dsbn transformer / secondary / service an upgrade becomes inevitable
- In the non-AC areas even charging at night would require upgrades in 10% of cases initially and grow over time.
- In the AC areas air conditioners can run at night
- We don’t know yet how customers will respond to electric vehicles and the tariff
- How will the utility know who will follow the tariff and who wont

Recommendation

- For purposes of evaluating the benefits of the tariff perform upgrades at appropriate time and revisit this approach in 6 months to a year after more information is received
Update Distribution System Design

Subdivision / New Residential Service
- Current design - 6.5 volt drop, 25, 50 or 100 kVA transformer (depends on number of customers to be served / loading)
- Future design – 5 volt drop, minimum 100 kVA transformer (subdivision), 25 kVA single customer (note 5 volt drop matches adding two 7.5 kw chargers on the transformer resulting in 6.5 volt drop, analysis was ran to compare the two).
- Cost impact of going to 100 kVA minimum ~$2 million per year (PG&E only construction, does not include applicant installed)

New or existing overhead serving multiple customers
- Current design – 6.5 volt drop, 15, 25, 50, 100 kVA transformers (depends on number of customers to be served / loading)
- Future design – 5 volt drop, upgrade transformer / secondary / service to minimize pole replacement, if replace pole upgrade transformer size and replace secondary / service as needed

Reason for change
- Increases new capability to serve 2-3 electric vehicles initially
- Need to revisit design yearly once we have better information on penetration rates
- Proactive design change that is pragmatic
- System design personnel need consistent guidance to reduce confusion and meet customer needs